



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,215	10/28/2003	Harumi Aoishi	Q78146	2969
23373	7590 02/03/2006		EXAM	INER
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			CHEN, TIANJIE	
SUITE 800	I LVANIA AVENUE, I	N. VV .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2656	

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/694,215	AOISHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tianjie Chen	2656				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 No	ovember 2005.					
· _ ·						
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
) Dotice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Final Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oishi (US 5,708,547).

Claim 1, Oishi shows a disk cartridge in Fig. 1 including a casing 11 in which a flexible information recording disk 2 (Column 3, line 23) is housed, and a liner 8 (Column 3, line 48) which is fixed to an inner surface of the casing interposed between the disk and the casing at a site opposed to the disk in order to clean the surface of the disk, wherein the liner is supported away from the inner surface of casing or the shutter member so that the liner can be deflected when the disk is brought into abutment against the liner due to impact applied to the disk cartridge; wherein an outer peripheral of the liner 8 is supported on the inner surface of the casing by an outer annular protrusion 10a formed on the inner surface of the casing concentrically with the disks, the outer annular protrusion positioned outward of an outer edge of the disk.

Claim 8, Oishi further shows that an inner periphery of the liner is supported on the inner surface of the casing by an inner annular protrusion 10b formed on the inner surface of the casing concentrically with the disk, the inner annular protrusion positioned outward of an outer edge of a flange of a center core of the casing.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,215 Page 3

Art Unit: 2656

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oishi in view of Hales (US 6,256,168).

Claims 4 and 6, Oishi further shows that the liner formed of nonwoven fabric (Column 3, lines 48-49), but does not specify the thickness.

Hales et al shows a cartridge, wherein the liner is made of a commercial available material with thickness between 1.5 to 3.5 miles (Column 5, line 60 to column 6, line 2), which corresponds 38 to 90 µm covering the range of 40 to 80 µm. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to use this commercially available material for the liner in Oishi's cartridge.

Claims 5 and 7, in above constructed device, the liner is lower in rigidity than the disk.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 11/22/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - Applicant argues: "as shown in Figure 1, the step 10a overlaps with the outer edge of the disk 2. Accordingly, Applicant submits that claim 1 is patentable over the cited reference."

Examiner's position: although "the step 10a overlaps with the outer edge of the disk 2," Oishi still can be recognized as "the outer annular positioned outward of an outer edge of the disk" since it's position starts from a point overlapping the disk, it extend and is outward of the outer edge of the disk. The claim language used in claim 1 does not require that the protrusion is completely located beyond the edge of the disk. Same argument is valid for claim 8.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tianjie Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-7570. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,215 Page 5

Art Unit: 2656

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TIANJIE CHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER